

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARCHIBALD CUNNINGHAM,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARIA SCHOPP, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 14-03033 JSW

**ORDER RESCHEDULING
HEARING DATES AND
EXTENDING BRIEFING
SCHEDULES**

On July 2, 2014, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this case. (Docket No. 1.) On October 29, 2014, shortly before the deadline to serve the Defendants, set by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), expired, Plaintiff purportedly served a number of the defendants who had not been served.

On November 4, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file an amended complaint, which is set for a hearing date on December 19, 2014. The deadline to oppose that motion was November 18, 2014. *See* N.D. Civ. L.R. 7-3(a). None of the named Defendants have opposed Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint, however it is not clear that the Judicial Defendants have been served with that motion. Accordingly, the Court HEREBY EXTENDS the deadline by which the Defendants may oppose that motion to December 3, 2014, and extends the deadline by which Plaintiff may file a reply to December 10, 2014. The Court also CONTINUES the hearing on that motion from December 19, 2014 to January 16, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., for the reasons set forth below.

1 On November 18, 2014, the Judicial Defendants filed a motion to quash service and to
2 dismiss Plaintiff's original complaint, which is noticed for hearing on January 23, 2015. **The**
3 **Judicial Defendants' motion exceeds the Court's standing orders regarding page**
4 **limitations for motions by five pages. (See Standing Civil Orders ¶ 7.)** Instead of striking
5 the motion as non-conforming, in the interests of moving this litigation forward, the Court will
6 grant Plaintiff an additional five pages to oppose Defendants' motion. However, Defendants'
7 reply brief shall be limited to fifteen pages.

8 In light of the upcoming Thanksgiving holiday, the Court EXTENDS the briefing
9 schedule on that motion as follows: Plaintiffs' opposition brief shall be due on December 12,
10 2014, and Defendants' reply shall be due on December 19, 2014. The Court also ADVANCES
11 that hearing from January 23, 2015 to January 16, 2015, because January 23, 2015 is not an
12 available date for cases ending in terminal digits 1 and 2, and this case is related to a case
13 ending in terminal digit 2.

14 If the Court determines that either motion can be resolved on the papers, it shall notify
15 the parties in advance of the hearing date.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 Dated: November 19, 2014

18 
19 JEFFREY S. WHITE
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28